1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst	
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
5	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE THE	
8	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	T A Mark Call A A	L. C N. D. 2112
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2113
12	DON CARLO M. TRINIDAD 171 Regent Drive	ACCUSATION
13	Pittsburg CA 94565	
14	Respiratory Care Practitioner License 25143	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about May 3, 2006, the Respiratory Care Board issued Respiratory	
23	Care Practitioner License Number 25143 to Don Carlo M. Trinidad (Respondent). The	
24	Respiratory Care Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
25	charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.	
26	<u>DISCIPLINARY HISTORY</u>	
27	3. On or about November 16, 2007, the Board filed a Petition for Interim	
28	Suspension Order pursuant to Business & Professions code section 494 against respondent's	

license. On or about November 14, 2007, respondent agreed to a voluntary suspension of his license to practice respiratory care. On or about November 20, 2007, Administrative Law Judge Michael C. Cohn signed an Order suspending respondent's license pending resolution of the 4 administrative case. JURISDICTION 4. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. 5. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]." Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, 6. and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter." 14 7. Section 3750 of the Code states: "The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes: "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500)." "(j) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner." 8. Section 3750.5 of the Code states: "In addition to any other grounds specified in this chapter, the board may deny,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

suspend, or revoke the license of any applicant or license holder who has done any of the

following:

///

"(a) Obtained or possessed in violation of law, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administered to himself or herself, or furnished or administered to another, any controlled substances as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 7 (commencing with section 4210) of Chapter 9 of this code.

"(b) Used any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 7 (commencing with section 4210) of Chapter 9 of this code."

## 9. Section 3755 of the Code states:

"The board may take action against any respiratory care practitioner who is charged with unprofessional conduct in administering, or attempting to administer, direct or indirect respiratory care. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, repeated acts of clearly administering directly or indirectly inappropriate or unsafe respiratory care procedures, protocols, therapeutic regimens, or diagnostic testing or monitoring techniques, and violation of any provision of Section 3750. The board may determine unprofessional conduct involving any and all aspects of respiratory care performed by anyone licensed as a respiratory care practitioner."

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act."

## **COST RECOVERY**

11. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

12. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 13. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Possession and Use of a controlled substance)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3750.5(a) and 3750.5(b) in that he used a needle and syringe to take patients' medications for personal use. The circumstances are as follows:
- 15. On or about September 8, 9, and 13, 2007, respondent was employed as a respiratory care practitioner on a contract basis at Alameda County Medical Center (ACMC). On those dates, an Intensive Care Unit (ICU) nurse witnessed respondent, holding a needle and syringe, entering patient rooms. When the nurse entered the patient room, she observed respondent using a needle and syringe to withdraw Fentanyl<sup>1</sup>, a controlled substance, from the

<sup>1.</sup> Fentanyl citrate is a potent narcotic analgesic. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code, and a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055, subdivision (c)(8), of the Health and Safety Code.

- 16. On September 13, 2007, R.W. met with respondent to discuss the allegations. Respondent admitted that he had stolen medication from patients, and stated that he had a drug abuse problem. Based on respondent's actions and admission, R.W. terminated respondent's employment at ACMC. R.W. telephoned the Board and notified L.Z, Enforcement Program Manager, of respondent's actions.
- 17. On September 17, 2007, L.Z. spoke on the telephone with an individual who identified himself as "Mark," and stated that he worked at a Los Angeles county facility through "Maximum," a registry company. He stated that in the prior week, he was terminated from employment due to stealing patient medication. He wanted to know what would happen to his license. Since the facts sounded similar to what R.W. had reported, L.Z. asked the caller if he was Respondent. Respondent admitted that it was he, and apologized for being deceptive but stated that he was scared to reveal his true name and circumstances.
- 18. Respondent admitted that he had taken patient medications while working as a respiratory care practitioner. L.Z. told him that the Board would send him a declaration form and ask him to describe what had happened, in writing.
- 19. On or about September 26, 2007, Respondent faxed two pages to the Board, consisting of a cover sheet and a one page "Factual Statement in response to allegation." In his signed statement, he stated that "I admit to the allegation of taking Fentanyl via a syringe on a couple of occasions. I also admit to having had an addiction which started after being placed on strong narcotics as a result of a surgery that took place May 2007."
- 20. Respondent's license is subject to discipline in that while he was employed as a respiratory care practitioner, he used a needle and syringe to remove Fentanyl, a controlled substance, for personal use in violation of code sections 3750.5(a) [possession of a controlled substance] and 3750.5(b) [use of a controlled substance.]

<sup>2.</sup> Initials are used to protect confidentiality. Full names will be disclosed in discovery.

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
2	(Dishonest Act)		
3	21. Paragraphs 15 through 19 are incorporated herein.		
4	22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750(j) in that		
5	his act of taking Fentanyl, a controlled substance, from patients' intravenous bags for his		
6	personal use is a dishonest act and is cause for discipline.		
7	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
8	(Unprofessional Conduct)		
9	23. Paragraphs 15 through 19 are incorporated herein.		
10	24. Respondent's act of taking Fentanyl, a controlled substance, from patients'		
11	intravenous bags for his personal use is a violation of code section 3755 [unprofessional conduct		
12	and constitutes cause for discipline.		
13	<u>PRAYER</u>		
14	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
15	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:		
16	1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number		
17	25143, issued to Don Carlo M. Trinidad.		
18	2. Ordering Don Carlo M. Trinidad to pay the Respiratory Care Board the		
19	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of		
20	probation monitoring;		
21	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
22	DATED: <u>November 30, 2007</u> .		
23			
24	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for: STEPHANIE NUNEZ		
25	Executive Officer		
26	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
27	Complainant		
28			